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1. The title adequately represents the article's content: ……….
2. The title includes the greatest possible number of keywords to facilitate indexing at a later stage: ……….
3. The abstract accurately represents the qualitative information contained in the document: ……….
4. The list of keywords adequately describes the issues covered: ……….
5. The article is original. How it is different to previous studies and what it contributes to what has already been published can be clearly ascertained: …...
6. The article is significant and relevant: ……….
7. The article clearly sets out its objectives: ……….
8. The content of the article corresponds to its objectives: ……….
9. The article provides a continuous, clear and organized line of argument: ……….
10. The article's conclusions are properly argued and justified: ……….
11. The author exhibits mastery of the subject, and this is accredited by a direct discussion with relevant bibliography: …….
12. The references are sufficiently up to date: ……….
13. The editions used have a recognized academic reputation: ……….
14. Ideas or perspectives that have been taken from other sources are clearly acknowledged to the reader: …..
15. In terms of clarity of prose and formal aspects the manuscript is sufficient: ……….
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